

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspon.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|--|---------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/074,870 | 02/13/2002 | Robert L. Bradley | 282.016 | 6804 | |
| ·- | 90 01/02/2004 | EXAMINER | | | |
| Mary E. Eberle BOYLE, FREDRICKSON, NEWHOLM, STEIN & GRATZ, S.C. | | | WONG, LESLIE A | | |
| 250 Plaza, Suite 1030 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| 250 East Wisconsin Avenue Milwaukee, WI 53202 | | 1761 | | | |

DATE MAILED: 01/02/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | Applica | ation No. | Applicant(s) | |
|---|--|---|--|--|-------------------------|
| | Office Auto O | 10/074 | ,870 | BRADLEY, ROB | ERT L. |
| | Office Action Summary | Examir | ıer | Art Unit | T |
| | | Leslie \ | | 1761 | |
| Period fo | The MAILING DATE of this comm or Reply | unication appears on t | he cover sheet w | ith the correspondence a | ddress |
| Exte after If the If NO Failu | IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMI misions of time may be available under the provis "SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this ce period for reply specified above is less than thir period for reply specified above, the maximum rate to reply within the set or extended period for reply received by the Office later than three monted patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b | JNICATION. ions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no ommunication. by (30) days, a reply within the s on statutory period will apply and eply will, by statute, cause the a has after the mailing date of this | event, however, may a r tatutory minimum of thir I will expire SIX (6) MON | eply be timely filed by (30) days will be considered tim THS from the mailing date of this | ely. communication. |
| 1)[🖂 | Responsive to communication(s) | filed on 09 October 20 | 003 | | |
| _ | This action is FINAL . | 2b)⊠ This action is | | | |
| | Since this application is in condition | • | | ore proceeding at the | |
| -,- | closed in accordance with the pra | ictice under Ex parte (| Quayle, 1935 C.D | . 11, 453 O.G. 213. | e ments is |
| Dispositi | ion of Claims | | | | |
| 4)🖂 | Claim(s) 1-37 is/are pending in th | e application. | | | |
| | 4a) Of the above claim(s) 29-37 is | | onsideration. | | |
| | Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | |
| 6)□ | Claim(s) 1-28 is/are rejected. | | | | |
| | Claim(s) is/are objected to | | | | |
| 8)[| Claim(s) are subject to res | triction and/or election | requirement. | | |
| Applicati | ion Papers | | | | |
| 9)[| The specification is objected to by | the Examiner. | | | |
| 10)[| The drawing(s) filed on is/a | re: a) accepted or t | objected to | ov the Examiner | |
| | Applicant may not request that any ob- | | | | |
| | Replacement drawing sheet(s) include | ing the correction is requ | ired if the drawing(| s) is objected to. See 37 C | FR 1.121(d). |
| 11)[| The oath or declaration is objected | to by the Examiner. N | Note the attached | Office Action or form P | TO-152. |
| | ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | | |
| 12) | Acknowledgment is made of a cla | im for foreign priority u | ınder 35 U.S.C. 8 | 119(a)-(d) or (f). | |
| a)L | _IAll b)LISome * c)LINone of | f: | | , | |
| | 1. Certified copies of the priori2. Certified copies of the priori | ty documents have be | en received. | P (2 A) | |
| | 3. Copies of the certified copies | es of the priority docum | en received in A | oplication No received in this National | Stano |
| | application from the Internation | tional Bureau (PCT Ru | ıle 17.2(a)). | | Otage |
| * S | ee the attached detailed Office ac | tion for a list of the cer | tified copies not | eceived. | |
| A ∟رد≀ sir | cknowledgment is made of a claim nce a specific reference was included | i for domestic priority i | under 35 U.S.C. | § 119(e) (to a provisiona | I application |
| 3/ | 7 CFR 1.78. | | | | Data Sileet. |
| a) | ☐ The translation of the foreign I | anguage provisional a | pplication has be | en received. | |
| 14)∟. A re | cknowledgment is made of a claim ference was included in the first se | I for domestic priority tentence of the specific | under 35 U.S.C. ation or in an App | §§ 120 and/or 121 since olication Data Sheet. 37 | a specific CFR 1.78. |
| ttachment(| | | | | |
| | of References Cited (PTO-892) | | A) D Interview C | ummary (PTO-413) Paper No(| -1 |
| | | | | ammary in 10-413) Paper Not | |
|) Notice | e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) | (PTO-948) | | formal Patent Application (PTC | |

Application/Control Number: 10/074,870

Art Unit: 1761

Applicant's election with traverse of Group I in Paper No. 10/09/03 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the inventions are related and that there is no burden. This is not found persuasive because the products as claimed can be made by another and materially different process such as pasteurizing prior to mixing.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lehmann et al (US Patent No. 4957751) in view of Kosikowski (Chapter 8).

Lehmann et al disclose a method of making cheese comprising processing milk to produce cheese curds and whey, removing cheese curds from the whey, collecting fine particles of cheese curd from the whey, adding the fine particles of cheese curd into milk, mixing, and processing (see entire document).

The claims differ as to use of a colloid mill, homogenization, and pasteurization.

Kosikowski discloses mixing/homogenization, and pasteurization as conventional process steps in cheese production (see entire document, especially Table 25).

It is art-recognized that the use of a homogenizer and/or colloid mill serves to mix and decrease particle size.

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to use the specific processing steps of Kosikowski in that of Lehmann because the use of mixing and pasteurization is conventional in the cheese art.

It is noted that in the absence of a showing of criticality, the selection of homogenizer type is merely a matter of choice and well-within the skill of the art.

Zettier et al is cited as of interest.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Leslie Wong whose telephone number is 571-272-1411. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Milton Cano can be reached on 571-272-1398. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

Leslie Wong Primary Examiner Art Unit 1761

LeslieWny

LAW December 19, 2003